UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
		District of	Delaware
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V. Mohamedkamal Kabira	OR Case	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL CRO7-52-SLR
	Defendant		CKO 1-5 L JLK.
	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 on of the defendant pending trial in this case.	(f), a detention hearin	g has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
		art I—Findings of l	
	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in *		
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 1 § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.			
			as on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
☐ (4	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
Alternative Findings (A)			
X (1	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant XX for which a maximum term of imprisonment of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
X□ (2	2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estab	olished by finding 1 tha	at no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.			
		Alternative Findings	(B)
1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	•	an assess of the community
(2	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	ger the safety of anothe	er person or the community.
	Part II—Written	n Statement of Rea	sons for Detention
derance 1. The 2. Defe but did	nd that the credible testimony and information submitte of the evidence: evidence is credible. mdant was clearly dealing in Khat which falls within a boot feel that it should be illegal.	hallucigenic material d	lepending on its age. Defendant was aware that Khat was illegal,

3. Defendant had significant assets to leave the US (despite the fact of originally coming to the US as a political refugee) and not return to his country of origin.

4. Defendant was not forthright in the amount of assets that he had at his disposal.

5. Besides his application for political asylum, defendant has no ties to the US, except for a cousin.

6. He has no visible legitimate means for support having been unemployed for at least the past 5 months. the amount of assets at his disposal and the types of employment that he had in the past strongly suggests that he makes his living selling/distributing Khat.



Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

April 23, 2007

Date

Signature of Judicial Office Mary Pat Thynge, Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).